



This project publication is a result of the involvement with the Mongolian collections of the research traveller Hans Leder. In 1996 I selected one artefact at the Weltmuseum Wien – the former Museum of Ethnology Vienna – a pendant that had been part of the elaborate headdress worn by women in northern Mongolia, to narrate the histories related to this item, most importantly the life stories of Mongolian women in the 20th century. During the research it turned out that the piece and the collection in Vienna was one part of the collector's far larger collection of more than 4,000 objects, mostly Mongolian Buddhist ritual artefacts, held in several ethnographic museums in Europe. The research funding programme *forMuse* ("Research at Museums", Austrian Federal Ministry for Science and Research) exactly fitted frame to realise the idea of reconnecting these collections in several ways.

On closer regard, the scope, authenticity and integrity make the Mongolian collections of Hans Leder unique, and this imposes a certain responsibility: to conserve them, as one task for the museums, and when doing research to try to relate them more closely to the histories they are connected to. One way to do this is to tell "what" they are through their iconography. Another way is to let them "re-travel" and document the contexts they were made for and of which they had been part, to learn what these things might have meant to people and re-trace the artefact's (life-) histories in their place of origin, in Mongolia. The focus of this compilation is on the artefacts themselves. They are presented in selected object groups as part of larger collections – through which their histories in connection and interaction with people become visible.

This volume brings together multiple points of view on artefacts: those of the Tibetologist, the Mongolist, the religious-studies scholar, the artist and the social and cultural anthropologist. The first chapter, by myself, offers an approach to the collection's histories and relations by shedding light on the collector's biography and the movement of artefacts. Lhagvademchig S. Jadamba draws upon past and recent developments of Buddhism in Mongolia from an insider perspective. Olaf Czaja chose to overview the large group of painted and printed images in the collections: thangkas, paintings and *tsakli* as well as amulets and manuscripts. Béla Kelényi elaborates on the specific object groups of votive tablets (*tshatsha*), representations of the Five Personal Protective Deities and prayer flags. In our contributions, Krisztina Teleki and I reunited and analysed the scattered groups of wooden figures of the characters of the Mongolian Tsam dance. Ágnes Birtalan examined representations of the "White Old Man" and the equestrian warrior deities in their textual and iconographic traditions as well as their specific Mongolian characters.

I would like to express my gratitude to all those who contributed to and supported the project, especially: Lhagvademchig Jadamba, Chuluunbat Purvee, Bumochir Dulam and Munkh-Erdene Lkhamsuren (Institute of Social Anthropology at the National University of Mongolia / Ulaanbaatar), Natsagnyam Ch. (Karkhorum Museum / Kharkhorin), Otgonsuren D. (Choijin Lama Temple Museum / Ulaanbaatar), Mendsaikhan O. (Bogd Khan Palace Museum / Ulaanbaatar), Abbot Baasansuren and ex-Abbot Enkhbat, Lama Osorkhuu (Erdene Zuu Monastery / Kharkhorin), Khishigjargal and family (near Khara Balgas), Dawaa (Khogno Khan Monastery), Abbot Baasanjargal, Junsh (Tsetserleg Monastery), Dolmaa, Dondog, Mendbayar (Bayan Olgii).

Thanks are also due to Steven Engelsman, Christian Feest, John D. Marshall, Barbara Plankensteiner and Christian Schicklgruber (Weltmuseum Wien / former Museum of Ethnology Vienna), Margareta Pavaloi and Stefan Dietrich (Völkerkundemuseum der J. & E. von Portheim Stiftung Heidelberg), Marita Andó, Claus Deimel, Erhart Schwerin (Grassi Museum für Völkerkunde zu Leipzig), Inés de Castro, Uta Werlich (Stuttgart Linden-Museum), Wulf Köpke, Susanne Knödel (Museum für Völkerkunde Hamburg), Birgit Kantzenbach (Ethnologisches Museum Berlin), Helena Heroldová (Náprstek-Museum Prague), Gábor Wilhelm (Néprajzi Múzeum Budapest), Andre Gingrich and Christian Jahoda (Institute for Social Anthropology, Austrian Academy of Sciences). Additionally we would like to thank in particular David Westacott for his proof-reading and translations.

Note on Transliterations

In this publication different forms of transliteration are used according to the specific focus of the individual authors (philologists, social anthropologists, Tibetologists etc.). Popular spellings are used for established names and notions: for example "Chinggis Khan" instead of "Činggis Khan". There is no widely shared well-established system for transliterating Mongolian. Nevertheless, the editors have tried to harmonise the text where reasonable. In general we have oriented ourselves on more common terminology within Mongolian studies to provide the reader with a more comprehensive readability.

Illustrations

Where the object photographs were of poor quality we have used them only in the preview images accompanying the articles and not in the "Figures" section.

